

## Tungusic converbs in *-mi* from the perspective of linguistic area<sup>1</sup>

Sangyub Baek  
*Hokkaido University*

### Abstract

A frequently used converbal suffix *-mi* in Tungusic shares common forms and similar semantic functions throughout the Tungusic languages. Thus, it is a general view that *-mi* originated from the Proto-Tungusic. Previous studies, however, have a tendency to give a rather different description to *-mi* in each Tungusic language according to classification and areal distribution. This paper aims to clarify the functional differences among converbal forms in *-mi* in accordance with Tungusic distribution (Russian/Chinese territories) by employing typological parameters of converbs (Nedjalkov, V.P. 1995). In addition, we also apply the same parameters to functionally corresponding imperfective converbs of Russian and Mongolian to examine the possibility that the functional differences in *-mi* among Tungusic languages arise as a result of making a linguistic area with the imperfective converbs of the neighboring languages. In conclusion, the Tungusic converb in *-mi* is revealed to display remarkable functional distinctions between Russian and Chinese Tungusic in the following respects: 1) morpho-syntactic parameters: number marking, auxiliary construction, quotative index and 2) semantic parameters: conditionality. Given that most of the differences above between the converbs in *-mi* in Russian and Chinese Tungusic (i.e. auxiliary construction, quotative index, conditionality) correspond with differences between imperfective converbs in Russian and Mongolian (in *-ja* and *-j* respectively), the paper proposes that the functional differences among the *-mi* forms of different Tungusic languages occur because *-mi* forms a linguistic area with functionally corresponding imperfective converbs of Russian and Mongolian languages.

*Keywords:* converbal suffix *-mi*, Tungusic, Russian, Mongolian, linguistic area

### Introduction

This study aims not only to specify the functional differences of the converbal suffix *-mi* according to the geographic distribution of Tungusic languages (Russian and Chinese territories),<sup>2</sup> but also to raise the possibility that most functional distinctions of *-mi* among Tungusic languages arise because *-mi* creates a linguistic area with the functionally corresponding imperfective gerund *-ja* and imperfective converb *-j* in the neighboring Russian and Mongolian languages.

The Tungusic converbal suffix *-mi* presents common forms and similar semantic functions in all Tungusic languages. Therefore, the Tungusic *-mi* is generally considered a converbal form that stems from the Proto-Tungusic. Table 1 below summarizes existing descriptions of *-mi* in each Tungusic language. Firstly, the *-mi* form in the first group of Tungusic except Solon is mainly described as a conditional converb. However, this does not apply to Solon, which is spoken on the Chinese territory. As for the second and third group of Tungusic, the *-mi* in these languages is mostly defined as a simultaneous converbal form that connects two coincident events. Thirdly, the *-me* in Manchu (including Sibe), which is also distributed inside the Chinese border, is regarded as an imperfective or coordinative converbal form; in these characteristics, it bears similarities to Solon.

<sup>1</sup> This paper is a revised and extended English version of Baek (2014). Additionally, transcriptions, glosses, and English translations in this paper are my own and can be different from the original references. Any errors, of course, are solely my responsibilities.

<sup>2</sup> Following Ikegami (1974) and Kazama (1996), the Tungusic languages in this study are classified as follows: I: Evenki (Ek), Even (E), Negidal (N), Solon (S), II: Udihe (U), Orochi (Oc), Hezhen (Hz), III: Nanay (Nn), Olcha (Ol), Uilta (Ut), IV: Manchu (M).

In sum, judging from the previous studies on the converbal suffix *-mi*, we can confirm that descriptions of *-mi* vary according to the Tungusic classification and distribution.

Table 1. Description of converbal suffix *-mi* in previous literature

I	<b>Ek</b>	temporal-conditional converb (Konstantinova 1968: <i>-mī</i> , Nedjalkov, I. V. 1995: <i>-mi</i> ), conditional converb (Bulatova & Grenoble 1999: <i>-mī</i> )
	<b>E</b>	temporal converb? (Benzing 1955: <i>-mi</i> ), temporal-conditional converb (Novikova 1968: <i>-mi</i> ), conditional converb (Malchukov 1995: <i>-mi</i> , Kazama 2003 / Kim 2011: <i>-mi</i> )
	<b>N</b>	temporal-conditional converb (Kolesnikova & Konstantinova 1968: <i>-mi</i> ), conditional converb (Cincius 1982: <i>-mī</i> , Kazama 2002: <i>-mi</i> )
	<b>S</b>	imperfective converb (Poppe 1931: <i>-mi</i> ), coordinative converb (Chaoke et al. 1991: <i>-m</i> ), coordinative converb (Tsumagari 2009a: <i>-mi</i> )
II	<b>U</b>	present-tense converb (Shnejder 1936 / Girfanova 2002: <i>-mi</i> ), infinitive (Nikolaeva & Tolskaya 2001: <i>-mi</i> ), simultaneous converb (Girfanova 2002 / Kazama 2010a: <i>-mi</i> )
	<b>Oc</b>	simultaneous converb (Avrorin & Boldyrev 2001: <i>-mi</i> )
	<b>H<sub>z</sub></b>	coordinative converb (An 1986 / Li 2006: <i>-mi</i> ), simultaneous converb (Tamura 2008: <i>-mi</i> )
III	<b>Nn</b>	simultaneous converb (Avrorin 1961: <i>-mi</i> , Kazama 2010b: <i>-mi</i> )
	<b>O<sub>l</sub></b>	simultaneous converb (Sunik 1985: <i>-mi</i> , Kazama 2010c: <i>-mi</i> )
	<b>Ut</b>	simultaneous converb (Petrova 1967: <i>-mi</i> ), temporal-conditional converb ? [Ikegami 2001 (1959): <i>-mi</i> ], coordinative converb (Tsumagari 2009b: <i>-mi</i> )
IV	<b>M</b>	present-tense converb (Zakharov 1879: <i>-me</i> ), imperfective converb (Sung 1968 / Li 2000 / Gorelova 2002: <i>-me</i> ), simultaneous converb (Avrorin 2000: <i>-me</i> ), infinitive (Tsumagari 2002: <i>-me</i> )
	<b>S<sub>b</sub></b>	coordinative converb (Li & Chong 1986: <i>-me</i> ), imperfective converb (Norman 1974: <i>-me</i> )

### Previous Studies

There are two previous studies (Benzing 1956, Kazama 2003, 2010a, 2010b) on the functional differences of the converbal suffix *-mi* among the Tungusic languages. Benzing (1956) points out that the semantic function of *-me* in Manchu deviates from the ordinary semantic functions of *-mi* in the other Tungusic languages, providing an example of motion purposive interpretation.

- 1) *ara-me dosi-ka.*  
write-CVB enter-PTCP.PST  
“He entered to write.”

(Benzing 1956: 143)

In addition, Kazama (2003, 2010a, 2010b) states that the converbal suffix *-mi* slightly differs in functions among the Tungusic languages, observing that the *-mi* in the first group of Tungusic does not have alternative forms by number, unlike the third group of Tungusic, and generally conveys conditional meaning. However, both studies briefly mention the functional differences of *-mi* without elaborating on the cause of them.

### Approach

Referring to the previous accounts and textual materials (see Appendix 1) of Tungusic, this study applies the typological parameters of converbs listed in Figure 1 to determine the functional differences of *-mi* by areal distribution. These parameters are mostly based on Nedjalkov, V.P. (1995). However, some of the parameters, such as number-marking, auxiliary construction, and quotative index, are my own.

Moreover, in order to specify the functional distinctions of *-mi* by distribution (Russian vs. Chinese territories), this paper adopts Tsumagari's (1997) division by language territory and divides Tungusic into two groups: Russian Tungusic and Chinese Tungusic. In this study, we examine Evenki (I), Nanay (III) and Udihe (II) from Russian Tungusic, while Solon (I), Hezhen (II), Manchu (IV) are chosen from Chinese Tungusic (the Roman numerals in parentheses represent Tungusic classification in Table 1).

Figure 1 Typological parameters of converbs (based on Nedjalkov, V.P. 1995)

1. Morpho-syntactic parameters	2. Semantic parameters
a. person marking	a. simultaneity
b. number marking	b. anteriority
c. corefentiality	c. causality
d. auxiliary construction	d. purpose
e. quotative index	e. conditionality

## Tungusic

### *Morpho-Syntactic Parameters*

#### Person marking

In all Tungusic converbs in *-mi*, the person marker is not attached to the converbal form.

#### Number marking

The converbal form in *-mi* in Russian Tungusic can be marked by the number of the subject in the subordinate clause, as shown in Examples 2 and 3. However, there is a difference in marking plurality in *-mi* converbs between Evenki on the one hand and Nanay, Udihe on the other. Evenki uses the nominal plural suffix *-l* to express plurality, while Nanay and Udihe have alternative forms of *-mi* in accordance with number. The use of different forms of *-mi* according to number is common in the second and third groups of Tungusic except Hezhen in the Chinese territories. Regarding Chinese Tungusic, only *-mi* is used regardless of subject number in the antecedent clause, as Example 4 indicates.

#### *Evenki*

- 2) *ju-la-wer eme-mi-l jep-čo-tin.*  
 house-DIR-REF.PL come-CVB-PL eat-PST-3PL  
 "Having come home, [they] ate."

(Nedjalkov, I. V. 1995: 445)

#### *Nanay*

- 3) *em modan=tanii xupi-meeri=tenii, enin-či-ji jiju-xe-či.*  
 one time=CLT play-CVB.PL=CLT mother-DIR-REF.SG return-PTCP.PST-3PL  
 "One time playing around, [They] returned to one's mother."

(Kazama 2010b: 142)

#### *Hezhen*

- 4) *tigurun xofur xofur sokutu-mi omi-xe-ti.*  
 they OMTP get.drunk-CVB drink-PTCP.PST-3PL  
 "[They] drank while getting drunk."

(Tamura 2008: 43)

## Coreferentiality

Nedjalkov, V. P. (1995) mentions that converbs can be classified into three types (same-subject converbs, different-subject converbs, and varying-subject converbs) according to whether the subjects in the subordinate and main clauses are coreferential or not. In principle, the converbal suffix *-mi* in both areas of Tungusic is used in the same-subject situation. This is considered to be closely related to the fact that person is not marked in *-mi* converbs.

## Auxiliary construction

Auxiliary construction in this paper is defined as follows: verb 2 that follows verb 1 marked by *-mi* loses its original lexical meaning and functions as a grammatical element (expressing tense-aspect-mood characteristics). In this study, we examine the following four auxiliary constructions:

- a) progressive:  $V_1\text{-}mi + V_2$  ‘be’
- b) attempt:  $V_1\text{-}mi + V_2$  ‘see’
- c) benefactive:  $V_1\text{-}mi + V_2$  ‘give’
- d) perfective:  $V_1\text{-}mi + V_2$  ‘put’

Auxiliary construction with *-mi* is confirmed to be relatively restricted in Russian Tungusic, whereas it is highly developed in Chinese Tungusic, as Table 2 and Examples 5-15 demonstrate. Kazama (2014) also states that there are a lot of auxiliary constructions in Solon, Manchu, and Sibe that had or still have contact with Mongolian and/or Chinese, whereas such constructions have not developed in most Tungusic.

Table 2. Auxiliary constructions with converbal suffix *-mi*<sup>3</sup>

	Russian			Chinese		
	Ek	Nn	U	S	Hz	M
progressive: $V_1\text{-}mi + V_2$ ‘be’	-	+	+	+	+	+
attempt: $V_1\text{-}mi + V_2$ ‘see’	-	-	-	+	+	+
benefactive: $V_1\text{-}mi + V_2$ ‘give’	-	-	-	-	+	+
perfective: $V_1\text{-}mi + V_2$ ‘put’	-	-	-	-	-	+
Total	0	1	1	2	3	4

*Nanay 1*: progressive ( $V_1\text{-}mi + V_2$  ‘be’)

- 5) *xai ta-mi bi-i-si?*  
 what do-CVB be-PTCP.PRS-2SG  
 “What are [you] doing?”

(Kazama 2008b: 89)

*Udihe 1*: progressive ( $V_1\text{-}mi + V_2$  ‘be’)

- 6) *uti etete-ne-mi, jeu diga-mi bi-i-ti.*  
 that work-DIRINT-CVB what eat-CVB be-PTCP.PRS-3PL  
 “Coming to work, what are [they] eating?”

(Kazama 2004: 383)

<sup>3</sup> In this paper, the Tungusic and neighboring languages will be compared in terms of their degree of auxiliary construction as follows. If a language forms all four auxiliary constructions described above, its degree of auxiliary construction is four; if it only forms one of those auxiliary constructions, its degree of auxiliary construction is one, and so on.

Hezhen 1: progressive (V<sub>1</sub>-mi + V<sub>2</sub> ‘be’)

- 7) *su arki-we omi-mi bi-yi-su?*  
 you.PL.NOM liquor-ACC drink-CVB be-PTCP.NPST-2PL  
 “Are you drinking liquor?”

(Li 2006: 58)

Hezhen 2: attempt (V<sub>1</sub>-mi + V<sub>2</sub> ‘see’)

- 8) *bi edin-me gele-m-ičie-ø-mi.*  
 I wind-ACC seek-CVB-see-PTCP.PRS-1SG  
 “I try to seek wind.”

(Tamura 2008: 46)

Solon 1: progressive (V<sub>1</sub>-mi + V<sub>2</sub> ‘be’)

- 9) *aasi-mi bi-see.*  
 sleep-CVB be-PST  
 “[He] was sleeping.”

(Tsumagari 2009a: 10)

Solon 2: attempt (V<sub>1</sub>-mi + V<sub>2</sub> ‘see’)

- 10) *jege-we-n tepteri-m iččee gunen.*  
 chin-ACC-3 stroke-CVB see.PTCP.PST say  
 “[They] say that [he] tried to stroke his chin.”

(Kazama 2008c: 49)

Solon 3: benefactive (V<sub>1</sub>-mi + V<sub>2</sub> ‘give’)

- 11) *aggu sibbaa-m buu-se gunen.*  
 wisdom give-CVB give-PTCP.PST say  
 “[They] say that [she] gave wisdom [for me].”

(Kazama 2008c: 21)

Manchu 1: progressive (V<sub>1</sub>-me + V<sub>2</sub> ‘be’)

- 12) *hūwang joo ba be tuwakiya-me bi-he se-me ala-mbi.*  
 Hwangcaoba ACC watch-CVB be-PTCP.PST say-CVB say-IMP  
 “[They] say that [they] were watching over Hwangcaoba.”

(Choi et al. 2012b: 111)

Manchu 2: attempt (V<sub>1</sub>-me + V<sub>2</sub> ‘see’)

- 13) *siyanseng leole-me tuwa-ø.*  
 teacher think-CVB see-IMP  
 “Teacher, try to think.”

(Sung 1968: 78)

Manchu 3: benefactive (V<sub>1</sub>-me + V<sub>2</sub> ‘give’)

- 14) *hahai jaka be haha de faksala-me bu-fi unggi-ø.*  
 man.GEN thing ACC man DAT divide-CVB give-ANT.CVB send-IMP  
 “Give this man his share and see him off.”

(Sung 1968: 79)

Manchu 4: perfective (V<sub>1</sub>-me + verb ‘put’)

- 15) *gemu saikan boo-de dosim-bu-me sinda-ø.*  
 all well house-DAT enter-CAUS-CVB put-IMP  
 “Let them all enter the house.”

(Choi et al. 2012a: 403)

## Quotative index

A speech verb in the converbal form cross-linguistically functions as a quotative or complement index. In Evenki, however, we cannot verify that a speech verb with *-mi* grammatically serves as a quotative index. According to Avrorin (1961), Nanay uses the clitic  $=(A)m$  as a quotative marker, as shown in Example 16. He speculates that this clitic originated from the speech verb *un-* ‘say’ plus *-mi*. Nevertheless, we do not consider it as a speech verb in *-mi* since the clitic no longer retains its original formation. As for Udihe, Nikolaeva and Tolskaya (2001) say that the particle *gumu* (< *gune-* + *-u*: say+PAS?) is used to mark a quotative or complement clause (see Example 17). On the other hand, a speech verb in the converbal form *-mi* functions as a quotative or complement index in most of Chinese Tungusic. Hezhen and Manchu use speech verbs with converbal suffixes *-mi* and *-me* respectively, for example, *ne-mi*: say-CVB (Hz), *se-me*: say-CVB (M), to mark quotation or complement, as shown in Examples 19-20. However, Solon does not utilize a speech verb with *-mi*, instead the particle *gunken* (< speech verb *gun-* ‘say’ plus fossilized simultaneous converbal suffix *-nAkAn*) is frequently used to introduce a quotation or complement clause (see Example 18). These facts are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Speech verbs plus converbal suffix *-mi* as quotative index

	Ek	Russian	U	S	Chinese	
		Nn			Hz	M
quotative index	-	$=(A)m$ (clitic)	<i>gumu</i> (particle)	<i>gunken</i> (particle)	<i>ne-mi</i> (say-CVB)	<i>se-me</i> (say-CVB)
result	-	-	-	-	+	+

### Nanay

- 16) *ča-do nee-mi aja bi-ǰeree=m un-dii-ni.*  
 that-DAT put-CVB good be-FUT=CLT say-PTCP.PRS-3SG  
 “[He] says: It will be good to put [it] there.”

(Kazama 2010b: 259)

### Udihe

- 17) *nuani diaŋ-ka eniŋe-tigi-i magajina-tigi ŋene-ǰeŋe-i gumu.*  
 he say-PST mother-DIR-REF.SG shop-DIR go-PTCP.FUT-1SG QUOT  
 “He said to his mother: I will go to shop.”

(Nikolaeva & Tolskaya 2001: 668)

### Solon

- 18) *sii ilee nenendi gunken minii exinbel aŋʊʊ-saa.*  
 you where go.PRS.2SG QUOT I.GEN older sister ask-PST  
 “My sister asked: Where do you go?”

(Kazama 2011b: 164)

### Hezhen

- 19) *esi eme nio=de ene-ø-i ne-mi xesu-rše-n.*  
 now one person=CLT go-PTCP.PRS-1SG say-CVB say-NEG.PRS-3  
 “Now even one person does not say: I go.”

(Tamura 2008: 51)

### Manchu

- 20) *doose geren i baru suwe omi-me ele-he-o se-me*  
 monk everybody GEN toward you.PL.NOM drink-CVB enough-PTCP.PST-Q say-CVB  
*fonǰi-ha de.*  
 ask-PTCP.PST DAT  
 “When the monk asked everybody: Have you had enough to drink?”

(Gorelova 2002: 274)

### Semantic Parameters

Nedjalkov, V. P. (1995) typologically classifies converbs into three types by semantic functions: specialized converbs, contextual converbs, and narrative converbs. Following this classification, *-mi* in Tungusic belongs to contextual converbs that can perform various semantic functions according to the context. As Table 4 illustrates, the converbal suffix *-mi* in each language is confirmed to perform multi-semantic functions such as simultaneity, anteriority, and causality. Contrary to Benzing (1956), the purposive construction, where the *-mi* form is followed by a motion verb, is also possible in most of Tungusic. However, there is a prominent difference between *-mi* in the conditional function between Russian and Chinese Tungusic.

Table 4. Semantic functions of converbs in *-mi* in Russian and Chinese Tungusic

	Russian			Chinese		
	Ek	Nn	U	Hz	S	M
simultaneity	+	+	+	+	+	+
anteriority	+	+	+	+	+	+
causality	+	+	+	+	+	+
purposive	-	+	+	+	+	+
conditionality	+	+	+	-	-	-

### Conditionality

In this study, we divided conditionals into two types as follows: real and counterfactual conditionals. Nedjalkov, I. V. (1995) observes that the converbal form in *-mi* in Evenki leads to a conditional reading when future tense, imperative, and subjunctive moods occur in the main clause. Examples 21-22 below indicate that the *-mi* in Evenki can create both real and counterfactual conditionals. The same phenomena are also confirmed in the *-mi* of Udihe, as presented in Examples 23-24, which are obtained from my fieldwork data. Nevertheless, note that the counterfactual conditional in Udihe is generally formed by the conditional marker *bisi*, as mentioned in Nikolaeva & Tolskaya (2001). As for Nanay, Kazama (2010b) states that *-mi* can create conditional constructions, as illustrated in Example 25. We can see that future tense in the main clause creates conditional interpretation. However, we cannot find an example of a counterfactual conditional with *-mi* in Nanay texts. The reason for this is presumed to be the fact that Nanay mainly uses the conditional marker *osini* to form counterfactual conditionals. As a rule, the converbal suffix *-mi* in Russian Tungusic forms same-subject conditionals. On the other hand, the *-mi* in Chinese Tungusic does not semantically function as a conditional in future, imperative, and subjunctive sentences. Instead, conditional converbs (Solon *-kki*, Hezhen *-ki* and Manchu *-či*), both with the same or different subject, are used to form real and counterfactual conditionals in Chinese Tungusic (see Examples 26-27).

#### Evenki

Real conditional (future tense, imperative mood in the main clause)

- 21) *aja-t hawa-l-mii-l, beje-l oo-jaŋaa-sun.*  
 good-INS work-INC-CVB-PL person-PL become-PTCP.FUT-2PL  
 “If [you] would start working well, [you] will become a person.”

(Bulatova & Grenoble 1999: 44)

Counterfactual conditional (subjunctive mood in the main clause)

- 22) *asatkan-me ajaw-mi, asila-mča-w.*  
 girl-ACC love-CVB marry-SUBJ-1SG  
 “If [I] loved this girl, [I] would marry her.”

(Nedjalkov, I. V. 1997: 54)

*Udihe*

Real conditional (future tense, imperative mood in the main clause)

- 23) *nuani maŋga bi-mi, uti sagdi ʃolo-wo uinde-mi mute-ʃeŋe-ni.*  
 he strong be-CVB that big stone-ACC lift up-CVB can-PTCP.FUT-3SG  
 “If he is strong, [he] will lift up that big stone.”

(Fieldwork data)

Counterfactual conditional (subjunctive mood in the main clause)

- 24) *nuani udie kewe-ni saa-mi, udie-ʃige-ʃi diana-mi mute-muse.*  
 he Udihe language-3SG know-CVB Udihe-PL-COM speak-CVB can-SUBJ.3  
 “If he knew the Udihe language, [he] could speak with Udihe people”

(Fieldwork data)

*Nanay*

Real conditional (future tense in the main clause)

- 25) *bumbie mana-mi, sumbie waa-nda-ʃaraa.*  
 we.ACC finish-CVB you.ACC kill-DIRINT-FUT.3  
 “If [they] finish us, [they] will kill you.”

(Kazama 2010b: 243)

*Manchu*Real conditional: *-či* (conditional converb)

- 26) *si gai-ki se-či, uthai gaisu.*  
 you.SG.NOM take-OPT say-COND.CVB then take.IMP  
 “If you want to take [something], then take [it].”

(Gorelova 2002: 297)

Counterfactual conditional: *-či* (conditional converb)

- 27) *aika duleke aniya adali elgiyan bargiya-ha bi-či, gemu ʃeterengge*  
 if last year like plentiful harvest-PTCP.PST be-COND.CVB everyone food  
*bu-ʃi ulebu-mbihe.*  
 give-ANT.CVB feed-SUBJ  
 “If [we] harvested plentiful like last year, [we] would give food to everyone and feed them.”

(Tsumagari 2002: 61)



## Summary

We have applied the typological parameters of converbs proposed by Nedjalkov, V.P. (1995) to the Tungusic *-mi* and summarized the results in Table 5 below.

Table 5. Tungusic *-mi* in Russian and Chinese territories by typological parameters of the converbs

		Russian			Chinese		
		Ek	Nn	U	S	Hz	M
morpho-syntactic	person marking	-	-	-	-	-	-
	number marking	+	+	+	-	-	-
	coreferentiality	+	+	+	+	+	+
	auxiliary construction	-	1	1	3	2	4
	quotative index	-	-	-	-	+	+
semantic	simultaneity	+	+	+	+	+	+
	anteriority	+	+	+	+	+	+
	causality	+	+	+	+	+	+
	purpose	-	+	+	+	+	+
	conditionality	+	+	+	-	-	-

The converb *-mi* in Russian and Chinese Tungusic displays noticeable distinctions in number marking, auxiliary construction, and quotative index functions. As for semantic functions, the conditional is considered a major difference between the two groups. Following these results, we can verify that the areal factor is strongly associated with the functional differences of the converbal suffix *-mi* in Tungusic. However, some differences, such as differences in ways of number marking, degree of auxiliary construction, formation of quotative index and conditional types, are also confirmed among Tungusic within the same area.

### *Neighboring languages (Russian, Mongolian)*

Russian and Mongolian are known to have influenced the Tungusic languages. The Russian imperfective gerund suffix *-ja* and the Mongolian imperfective converbal suffix *-j* functionally correspond to the *-mi* in Tungusic. We apply the same typological parameters of converbs to the imperfective converbal forms in the Russian and Mongolian languages.

### *Russian Imperfective Gerund in -ja*

The Russian imperfective gerund in *-ja*, used only in same-subject circumstances, is not marked for person and number. In Russian, we cannot confirm the quotative index and auxiliary construction with *-ja*. Concerning its semantic function, the imperfective gerund in *-ja* belongs to contextual converbs that serve various semantic functions such as simultaneity, cause, and conditional. Conditional readings, both real and counterfactual, are formed with *-ja* when the future tense and subjunctive mood appear in the main clause, as illustrated in Example 28 for the real condition (future tense < perfective verb in the main clause) and in Example 29 for the counterfactual condition (with subjunctive mood in the main clause).

- 28) *Raz'ezža-ja* po strane, on navedet spravki o syne.  
 travel.IMPF-CVB around country he will make inquiries about son  
 "If [he] travels around the country, he will make inquiries about his son."

(Boguslavskij 1977: 271)

- 29) *Zna-ja eti slova, vy mogli by vce perevecti.*  
 know-CVB these words you could SUBJ all translate  
 “If [you] knew these words, you would translate all.”

(Shirota 2010: 393)

*Mongolian Imperfective Converb in -j*

Mongolian converbs formed with the suffix *-j* are not marked for person and number. The converbal form in *-j* is most commonly used when the subjects of the main and subordinate clauses are the same. Mongolian can be classified as a language with a high degree of auxiliary construction since *-j* can form three out of four auxiliary constructions in this paper (see Examples 30-32). The speech verb *ge-* ‘say’ plus *-j* in Mongolian functions as a quotative or complement index, as Example 33 illustrates.

*Auxiliary construction*progressive:  $V_1-j + V_2$  ‘be’

- 30) *čaanaas mor'toj xün ir-j baj-na.*  
 beyond.ABL horse.COM person come-CVB be-NPST  
 “A person on a horse is coming from that side.”

(Yamakoshi 2012: 122)

attempt:  $V_1-j + V_2$  ‘see’

- 31) *tamxi tata-j üz-ex üüi?*  
 cigarette pull-CVB see-PTCP.FUT Q  
 “Do [you] try to smoke?”

(Yamakoshi 2012: 124)

benefactive:  $V_1-j + V_2$  ‘give’

- 32) *Bat ene blog-ijg nadad zaa-j ög-sön.*  
 Bat this blog-ACC I.DAT show-CVB give-PTCP.PST  
 “Bat showed this blog to me.”

(Yamakoshi 2012: 124)

*Quotative index*

- 33) *margaaš arvan čag-t uulz'ja ge-j jari-lč-san.*  
 tomorrow ten hour-DAT meet.VOL say-CVB speak-RECP-PTCP.PST  
 “[We] said: Let’s meet at ten tomorrow.”

(Yamakoshi 2012: 128)

Concerning its semantic function, *-j* can convey simultaneity, anteriority, causality, whereas purpose and conditional sentences are not allowed. As with Chinese Tungusic, future tense, imperative and subjunctive moods in the main clause cannot lead to conditional readings. As Examples 34-35 demonstrate, real and counterfactual conditionals are formed by the conditional converbal suffix *-bal* and conditional particle *bol* (<\**bol-bol*: become-COND.CVB) respectively, both with same or different subject.

Real conditional: *-bal* (conditional converb)

- 34) *ene dugujg av-bal, bi avtobus-aar javaxguj.*  
 this bike.ACC buy-COND.CVB I bus-INS go.PTCP.FUT.NEG  
 “If [I] buy this bike, I won’t go by bus.”

(Kullman &amp; Tserenpil 1996: 162)

Counterfactual conditional: *bol* (conditional particle)

35) *ert irsen(sen) bol, bagš-taj uulzax bajžee.*  
 early come.PTCP.PST if teacher-COM meet.PTCP.FUT be.PST  
 “If [I] had come earlier, [I] would have met the teacher.”

(Kullman & Tserenpil 1996: 343)

## Summary

Results of the application of typological parameters of converbs to imperfective converbs in Russian and Mongolian are summarized in Table 6. In short, we can verify that the auxiliary construction and quotative index parameters are different between Russian and Mongolian imperfective converbs. Regarding semantic functions, anteriority and conditionality are major distinctions between the two languages.

Table 6. Russian and Mongolian imperfective converbs by typological parameters of converbs

		<b>R</b>	<b>Mo</b>
morpho-syntactic	person marking	-	-
	number marking	-	-
	coreferentiality	+	+
	auxiliary construction	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
	quotative index	-	+
semantic	simultaneity	+	+
	anteriority	-	+
	causality	+	+
	purpose	-	-
	conditionality	+	-

## Conclusion

We confirmed that the converbal suffix *-mi* indicates remarkable distinctions between Russian Tungusic (Evenki, Nanay, Udihe) and Chinese Tungusic (Solon, Hezhen, Manchu) in the following parameters: (a) morpho-syntactic: number marking, auxiliary construction, quotative index, (b) semantic: conditionality. As Table 7 indicates, most of these differences between Russian and Chinese Tungusic correspond with distinctions of the imperfective converbs (in *-ja* and *-j* respectively) in Russian and Mongolian. Thus, we propose that the functional differences that *-mi* shows in different Tungusic languages occur because *-mi* forms a linguistic area with the functionally corresponding converbs of the neighboring languages. However, we can also observe some differences in the way *-mi* functions in Tungusic within same area, such as differences in the ways of number marking, degrees of auxiliary construction, formation of quotative markers, conditional types. Further research is required to explain this variation.

Table 7. The Tungusic *-mi* and Russian / Mongolian imperfective converbs by typological parameters of converbs

		Russian				Chinese			
		R	Ek	U	Nn	S	Hz	M	Mo
morpho-syntactic	person marking	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	number marking	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
	coreferentiality	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	auxiliary construction	-	-	1	1	3	2	4	3
	quotative index	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
semantic	simultaneity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	anteriority	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	causality	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	purpose	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
	conditionality	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-

### Abbreviations

1, 2, 3: first person, second person, third person  
 ABL: ablative  
 ACC: accusative  
 ANT: anterior  
 CAUS: causative  
 CLT: clitic  
 COM: comitative  
 COND: conditional  
 CVB: converb  
 DAT: dative  
 DIR: directive  
 DIRINT: directional intentional  
 FUT: future

GEN: genitive  
 IMP: imperative  
 IMPF: imperfective  
 INC: inchoative  
 INS: instrumental  
 NEG: negative  
 NOM: nominative  
 NPST: non-past  
 OMTP: onomatopoeia  
 OPT: optative  
 PAS: passive  
 PL: plural  
 PRS: present

PST: past  
 PTCP: participle  
 Q: question marker  
 QUOT: quotative marker  
 RECP: reciprocal  
 REF: reflexive  
 SG: singular  
 SIM: simultaneous  
 SUBJ: subjunctive  
 V: verb  
 VOL: voluntative  
 =: clitic boundary

### References

- An, J (1986) *Hezheyu Jianzhi* [=A Sketch of Hezhe Language]. Beijing: Minzu chubanshe.  
 Avrorin, V. A. (1961) *Grammatika Nanajnskogo Jazyka*, volume 2. Leningrad: AN. SSSR.  
 Avrorin, V.A. (2000) *Grammatika Man'chzhurskogo Pis'mennogo Jazyka*. Sankt-Peterburg: Nauka.  
 Avrorin, V.A. & Boldyrev, B.V. (2001) *Grammatika Orochskogo Jazyka*. Novosibirsk: izdatel'stvo. SO RAN.  
 Baek, S. (2014) Tsungus shogoni okeru fukudoushi *-mi* no souini tsuite [= Functional differences of converb *-mi* in Tungusic]. Network for Northern Language Studies (ed.). *Northern language studies* 4: 85-110. Sapporo: Graduate School of Letters, Hokkaido University.  
 Benzing, J. (1955) *Lamutische Grammatik*. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner.  
 Benzing, J. (1956) *Die Tungusischen Sprachen: versuch einer vergleichenden grammatik*. Mainz: Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur.  
 Boguslavskij, I. M. (1977) O semantičeskom opisaniu russkix deepričactij: neopredelennost' ili mnogoznačnost'?. *Serija literatury i jazyka* 36.3: 270-281. Izvestija AN SSSR.  
 Bulatova, N. & Grenoble, L. (1999) *Evenki*. München / Newcastle: Lincom Europa.

- Chaoke & Tsumagai, T. & Kazama, S. (1991) *Solongo kihon reibunshuu* [=Solon basic sentences]. Sapporo: Faculty of Letters, Hokkaido University.
- Choi, D. et al. (2012a) *Cengeonogeoldaesinseok* [=Nogeoldae in Manchu]. Seoul: Pakmunsa.
- Choi, D. et al. (2012b) *Beye i cooha bade yabuha babe ejehe bithe* [=the book in which I wrote what I remembered in the war]. Seoul: Pakmunsa.
- Cincius, V. I. (1982) *Negidal'skij Jazyk: issledovanija i materialy*. Leningrad: Nauka.
- Girfanova, A. H. (2002) Udeghe München / Newcastle : Lincom Europa.
- Gorelova, L. M. (2002) *Manchu Grammar*. Handbook of oriental studies, Section 8. Central Asia vol. 7. Leiden / Boston / Köln: Brill.
- Ikegami, J. (1974) Versuch einer Klassifikation der tungusischen Sprache. *Sprache, Geschichte und Kultur der Altaischen Völker, Protokollband der XII. Tagung der Permanent international Altaische Conference 1969 in Berlin: 271-272*, Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Ikegami, J. (2001) The verb inflection of Orok. In: *Tsunguusugo Kenkyuu*: 24-72, Tokyo: Kyuukoshoin (First appeared in: 1959 The verb inflection of Orok. Kokugo Kenkyu No. 9: 34-73, Kokugakuin Daigaku Kokugokenkyukai, Tokyo).
- Kazama, S. (1996) Genetical position of Hezhen. *Gengo Kenkyu* 109: 117-139.
- Kazama, S. (2002) *Negidaarugo Tekisuto to Bunpou Gaisetsu* [=Negidal Texts and Grammar] (ELPR publication series: A2-021. Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 19). Suita: Faculty of Informatics, Osaka Gakuin University.
- Kazama, S. (2003) *Ewengo Tekisuto to Bunpou Gaisetsu* [=Even Texts and Grammar] (ELPR publication series: A2-030. Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 23). Suita: Faculty of Informatics, Osaka Gakuin University.
- Kazama, S. (2004) *Udehego Tekisuto (A)* [=Udihe Texts (A)] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 24/A). Tokyo: Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa Tokyo University of Foreign Studies.
- Kazama, S. (2005) *Naanai no Minwa to Densetsu 8* [=Nanay Folk Tales and Legends 8] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 27). Chiba University.
- Kazama, S. (2006a) *Udehego Tekisuto 2* [=Udihe Texts 2] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 31). Tokyo: Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa Tokyo University of Foreign Studies.
- Kazama, S. (2006b) *Naanai no Minwa to Densetsu 9* [=Nanay Folk Tales and Legends 9] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 32). Chiba University.
- Kazama, S. (2007a) *Udehego Tekisuto 3* [=Udihe Texts 3] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 35). Sapporo: Graduate School of Letters Hokkaido University.
- Kazama, S. (2007b) *Naanai no Minwa to Densetsu 10* [=Nanay Folk Tales and Legends 10] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 36) Sapporo: Graduate School of Letters Hokkaido University.
- Kazama, S. (2007c) *Sorongono no Minwa to Densetsu 1* [=Solon Folk Tales and Legends 1] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 37). Sapporo: Graduate School of Letters Hokkaido University.
- Kazama, S. (2008a) *Udehego Tekisuto 4* [=Udihe Texts 4] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 42). Tokyo: Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa Tokyo University of Foreign Studies.
- Kazama, S. (2008b) *Naanai no Minwa to Densetsu 11* [=Nanay Folk Tales and Legends 11] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 40). Sapporo: Graduate School of Letters Hokkaido University.
- Kazama, S. (2008c) *Sorongono no Minwa to Densetsu 2* [=Solon Folk Tales and Legends 2] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 41). Sapporo: Graduate School of Letters Hokkaido University.
- Kazama, S. (2009) *Udehego Tekisuto 5* [=Udihe Texts 5] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 44). Tokyo: Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa Tokyo University of Foreign Studies.
- Kazama, S. (2010a) *Udehego Ttekisuto 6* [=Udihe Texts 6] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 47). Tokyo: Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa Tokyo University of Foreign Studies.

- Kazama, S. (2010b) *Naanai no Minwa to Densetsu* 12 [=Nanay Folk Tales and Legends 12] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 48). Tokyo: Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa Tokyo University of Foreign Studies.
- Kazama, S. (2010c) *Urucha Koushou Bungei Genbunshuu* 5 [=Ulcha Oral Literature 5: A Collection of Texts] (Publications on Tungus languages and cultures 49). Tokyo: Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa Tokyo University of Foreign Studies.
- Kazama, S. (2011a) Naanaigo no fukubunni tsuite: Jyouken keisikino tsukaiwakewo chuusinto site [=The complex sentence in Nanai: with a special focus on conditionals]. *Northern Language Studies* 1: 115-138. Network for Northern Language Studies ed. Sapporo: Graduate School of Letters Hokkaido University.
- Kazama, S. (2011b) Sorongoni okeru Mongorugono eikyou [=Mongolian influence on Solon]. *Gogaku Kyouiku Fooramu Vol 24*: 163-183. Teramura, M & T, Fukumori (eds.) Tokyo: Daito Bunka University.
- Kazama, S. (2014) Nihongono ruikeini tsuite: arutaigatagengono kaimeiwo mezashite [On the linguistic type of Japanese: toward the illumination of the “Altaic-type” languages]. Network for Northern Language Studies (ed.). *Northern language studies* 4. 157-171. Sapporo: Graduate School of Letters, Hokkaido University.
- Kim, J. (2011) *A Grammar of Even*. Seoul: Seoul National University Press.
- Kolesnikova, V. D. & Konstantinova, O. A (1968) Negidal'skij jazyk. *Mongol'skie, Tunguso-Man'chzhurskie i Jazyki*: 109-128. Skorik, P. R. (ed.) Leningrad: Nauka.
- Konstantinova, O. A. (1968) Evenkijskij jazyk. *Mongol'skie, Tunguso-Man'chzhurskie i Paleoaziatskie Jazyki*: 68-87. Skorik, P. R. (ed.) Leningrad: Nauka.
- Kormushin, I. V. (1998) *Udyxejskij Jazyk*. Moskva: Nauka.
- Kullmann, R. & Tserenpil, D. (1996) *Mongolian Grammar*. Institute of Language and Literature Academy of Sciences Ulaanbaatar Mongolia.
- Li, G. R. (2000) *Manchu A Textbook for Reading Documents*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- Li, L. (2006) *Hozengo no Doushi Kouzou* [=Verbal structure of Hezhen]. PhD. Dissertation (unpublished). Chiba University.
- Li, L. (2011) Hozengo no kaiwa tekisuto (1) [=Hezhen conversational text 1]. *Northern Language Studies* 1:197-216. Network for Northern Language Studies ed. Sapporo: Graduate School of Letters Hokkaido University.
- Li, L. (2012) Hozengo intabyuu tekisuto (1) [=Hezhen interview text 1]. *Northern Language Studies* 3: 183-216. Network for Northern Language Studies ed. Sapporo: Graduate School of Letters Hokkaido University.
- Li, S. & Chong, Q. (1986) *Xiboyu Jianzhi* [=A Short Description of Sibe Language]. Beijing: Minzuchubanshe.
- Malchukov, A. L. (1995) *Even*. München / Newcastle: Lincom Europa.
- Nedjalkov, I. V. (1995) Converbs in Evenki. *Converbs in Cross-Linguistic Perspective*: 441-463. Haspelmath, M. & Konig, E. (Eds.). Berlin / New York. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Nedjalkov, I. V. (1997) *Evenki*. London: Routledge.
- Nedjalkov, V. P. (1995) Some typological parameters of converbs. *Converbs in Cross-Linguistic Perspective*: 97-136. Haspelmath, M. & Konig, E. (Eds.). Berlin / New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Nikolaeva, I. & Tolskaya, M. (2001) *A Grammar of Udihe*. Berlin / New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Norman, J. (1974) A sketch of Sibe morphology. *Central Asiatic Journal* 18: 159-174.
- Novikova, K. A. (1968) Evenskij jazyk. *Mongol'skie, Tunguso-Man'chzhurskie i Paleoaziatskie Jazyki*: 88-108. Skorik, P. R. (ed.) Leningrad: Nauka.
- Petrova, T. I. (1967) *Jazyk Orokov* (Ul'ta). Leningrad.
- Poppe, N. (1931) *Materialy po Solonskomu Jazyku*. Leningrad: Izd-vo Akademii Nauk SSSR.
- Shirota, J. (2010) *Gendai Roshigo Bunpou* [=Modern Russian Grammar]. Tokyo: Touyoushoten.
- Shnejder, E. P. (1936) *Kratkij Udegejsko-Russkij Slovar'*. Moskva / Leningrad: Gosudarstvennoe uchebno-pedagogicheskoe izdatel'stvo.
- Sung, B. (1968) Manzueo muneoeu pudongsa eomi -mee daehayeo [=On Manchu converb ending *-me*]. *Hangeul* No.142: 72-102. Korean Language Research Society.
- Sunik, O. P. (1985) *Ul'cskij Jazyk*. Leningrad: Nauka.

- Tamura, K. (2008) Grammatical suffixes of Hezhen: with the text ‘Antu Mergen’ and its English and Japanese translations. *Bulletin of Aichi University of Education Humanities and Social Sciences* 57: 37-54. Aichi: Bulletin of Aichi University of Education.
- Tsumagari, T. (1981) Manshuugo no doushigobi-me [= Converbial ending -me in Manchu]. *Hoppo Bunka Kenkyuu* 14: 149-172 Sapporo: Hokkaido University.
- Tsumagari, T. (1997) Linguistic diversity and national borders of Tungusic. Shoji, H. & Janhunen, J. eds. *Northern minority languages: problems of survival (Senri Ethnological Studies No.44)*: 175-186. Suita: National Museum of Ethnology
- Tsumagari, T. (2002) *Manshuugo Nyuumon 20 kou* [=Manchu Introduction 20 Chapter]. Tokyo: Daigakushorin.
- Tsumagari, T. (2009a) A sketch of Solon grammar. *Journal of the center for Northern Humanities*, 2: 1-21 Center for Northern Humanities, Graduate School of Letters, Hokkaido University.
- Tsumagari, T. (2009b) Grammatical outline of Uilta (Revised). *Journal of the Graduate School of Letters* 4: 1-21. Sapporo: Graduate School of Hokkaido University.
- Vasilevič, G. M. (1936) *Sbornik Materialov po Evenkijskomu (tungusskomu) Fol'kloru*. Leningrad.
- Yamakoshi, Y. (2012) *Kuwashiku Wakaru Mongorugo Bunpou* [=Mongolian grammar]. Hakueisha.
- Zakharov, I. I. (1879) *Grammatika Man'chzhurskogo Jazyka*. St. Peterburg.

### Appendix 1. Linguistic Data

In this paper, following linguistic data are used to examine the functional differences of converbial suffix *-mi* by Tungusic distribution (Russian and Chinese territories) from the perspective of linguistic area.

#### • Russian Tungusic

##### Evenki (I)

Literature: Konstantinova (1968), Nedjalkov, I. V. (1995, 1997), Bulatova & Grenoble (1999)

Text: Vasilevič (1936)

##### Nanay (III)

Literature: Avrorin (1961), Kazama (2010b, 2011a)

Text: Kazama (2005, 2006b, 2007b, 2008b, 2010b)

##### Udihe (II)

Literature: Shnejder (1936), Kormushin (1998), Nikolaeva & Toskaya (2001), Girfanova (2002), Kazama (2010a)

Text: Kazama (2004, 2006a, 2007a, 2008a, 2009, 2010a)

Fieldwork data (conducted in 2013)

#### • Chinese Tungusic

##### Solon (I)

Literature: Poppe (1931), Chaoke et al. (1991), Tsumagari (2009a), Kazama (2011b)

Text: Kazama (2007c, 2008c)

##### Hezhen (II)

Literature: An (1986), Li (2006), Tamura (2008)

Text: Tamura (2008), Li (2011, 2012)

##### Manchu (IV)

Literature: Zakharov (1879), Sung (1968), Tsumagari (1981, 2002), Li (2000), Avrorin (2000), Gorelova (2002)

Text: Choi et al. (2012a, 2012b)